Structural Dynamic Response under Uncertainty - An Interval Finite Element Approach

Naijia Xiao, Francesco Fedele, and Rafi Muhanna

Georgia Institute of Technology

REC2016

Ruhr University Bochum, Germany, June 15-17, 2016





Outline

- Introduction
- DFT-Based Dynamic Solver
 - Deterministic Solver
 - Interval Solver
- Examples
- Conclusions



Introduction- Uncertainty

- □ Uncertainty is unavoidable in engineering system
 - Structural mechanics entails uncertainties in material, geometry and load parameters (aleatory-epistemic)
- □ Probabilistic approach is the traditional approach
 - Requires sufficient information to validate the probabilistic model
 - What if data is insufficient to justify a distribution?



Introduction- Interval Approach

□ Only range of information (tolerance) is available

$$t = t_0 \pm \delta$$

- □ Represents an uncertain quantity by giving a range of possible values $t = [t_0 \delta, t_0 + \delta]$
- How to define bounds on the possible ranges of uncertainty?
 experimental data, measurements, statistical analysis, expert knowledge



Introduction- Why Interval?

- □ Simple and elegant
- □ Conforms to conventional tolerance concept
- Describes the uncertainty that can not be appropriately modeled by probabilistic approach
- Computational basis for other uncertainty approaches
 (e.g., fuzzy set, random set, imprecise probability)

Provides guaranteed enclosures





Introduction- Interval Arithmetic

 Interval number represents a range of possible values within a closed set

$$\boldsymbol{x} \equiv [\underline{x}, \overline{x}] \coloneqq \{ x \in R \mid \underline{x} \le x \le \overline{x} \}$$



Introduction- Interval Arithmetic

Let x, y and z be interval numbers

1. Commutative Law

x + y = y + xxy = yx

2. Associative Law

x + (y + z) = (x + y) + zx(yz) = (xy)z

3. Distributive Law does not always hold, but

$$x(y+z) \subseteq xy + xz$$



Interval in Solid and Structural Mechanics

- Static Linear Problems Trusses and Frames
- Static Linear Problems 2 D Continuum Problems
- Static Linear Problems Plates
- Dynamic Linear Problems Time and Frequency Domains
- Material and Geometric Nonlinear Problems
- Inverse Problem
- Linear systems with P-Box Coefficients





Outline

- Introduction
- DFT-Based Dynamic Solver
 - Deterministic Solver
 - Interval Solver
- Examples
- Conclusions



DFT-Based Dynamic Solver

Governing equation of the system

$Ku + C\dot{u} + M\ddot{u} = f$

Bold non-italic font for interval variables
Non-trivial initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0,$$



Outline

- Introduction
- DFT-Based Dynamic Solver
 - Deterministic Solver
 - Interval Solver
- Examples
- Conclusions



- Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)
 - From time domain to frequency domain
 - Non-recursive, obtain solutions at all time steps simultaneously

$$\mathscr{F}(u)_j = U_j = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k \exp\left(-i\frac{2\pi}{N}jk\right)$$



Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT)
 From frequency domain to time domain

$$\mathscr{F}^{-1}(U)_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} U_k \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi}{N}jk\right)$$

Governing equation in the frequency domain:

$$\left(-\omega_j^2 M + i\omega_j C + K\right) \mathscr{F}(u)_j = \mathscr{F}(f)_j$$



Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT)

$$u_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} G_j \mathscr{F}(f)_j \exp\left(-i\frac{2\pi}{N}jk\right)$$

Symmetric conjugate

$$G_j = \begin{cases} \left(-\omega_j^2 M + i\omega_j C + K\right)^{-1}, & 0 \le j \le N/2 \\ \text{conjugate of } G_{N-j}, & N/2 \le j \le N \end{cases}$$



Georgialnstitute of Technology

Non-trivial initial conditions:

- Displacement: constant load
- Velocity: impact load





Outline

- Introduction
- DFT-Based Dynamic Solver
 - Deterministic Solver
 - Interval Solver
- Examples
- Conclusions



Interval Dynamic Solver

• The interval governing equation $\mathbf{K}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{f}$

Initial conditions

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0,$$

Assume Rayleigh damping

$$\mathbf{C} = \alpha_d \mathbf{M} + \beta_d \mathbf{K}$$



Interval Matrix Decomposition

 Interval matrix decomposition: reduce multiple occurrence of the same variable
 Stiffness

$$\mathbf{K} = A \operatorname{diag}(\Lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}) A^T$$

Mass

$$\mathbf{M} = A_m \operatorname{diag}(\Lambda_m \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) A_m^T$$

Equivalent load

$$\mathbf{f} = F \boldsymbol{\delta}_t = (F \boldsymbol{\delta}) \mathbf{d}_t$$



Interval Matrix Decomposition

• For non-trivial initial conditions $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{u}_0d_{\mu0} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{v}_0d_{\nu0}$

 Apply the decomposition of stiffness matrix K and mass matrix M, the equivalent load associated with initial condition is

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{A} \Big(\Lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \circ A^T \mathbf{u}_0 \Big) d_{u0} \\ + A_m \Big(\Lambda_m \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m \circ A_m^T \mathbf{v}_0 \Big) d_{v0}$$



Interval Governing Equation

Apply DFT to transform the governing equation into the frequency domain

$$\left(-\omega_j^2 \mathbf{M} + i\omega_j \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}\right) \mathscr{F}(\mathbf{u})_j = \mathscr{F}(\mathbf{f})_j$$

- Procedure:
 - 1) Transform the governing equation to a fixedpoint form
 - 2) Use iterative enclosure method to solve it





Interval Governing Equation

To keep the decomposition, introduce constraints in the form of Cu = 0.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{\text{eff},j} & \boldsymbol{C}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathscr{F}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathbf{u})_j \\ \mathscr{F}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \mathscr{F}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\mathbf{f})_j \\ \mathscr{F}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})_j \end{cases}$$

The effective stiffness matrix

$$\mathbf{K}_{\text{eff},j} = \begin{cases} -\omega_j^2 \mathbf{M} + i\omega_j \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}, & 0 \le j \le N/2 \\ \text{conjugate of } \mathbf{K}_{\text{eff},N-j}, & N/2 \le j \le N \end{cases}$$



Interval Governing Equation

Decomposition of the effective stiffness matrix

$$\mathbf{K}_{eff,j} = A_{eff} \operatorname{diag}(\Lambda_{eff} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{eff}) B_{eff}$$
$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 + ib \,\omega_j \end{pmatrix} A \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_j^2 + ia \,\omega_j \end{pmatrix} A_m \right\}$$
$$\operatorname{diag}\left(\begin{cases} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^T \\ A_m^T \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$



Iterative Enclosure Method

Complete governing equation, after decomposition:

$$\begin{cases} K_{\text{eff},j0} & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathscr{F}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u})_j \\ \mathscr{F}_{\epsilon}(\lambda)_j \end{cases} = \begin{cases} F_0 \\ 0 \end{cases} \delta \mathscr{F}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{d}_t)_j \\ - \begin{cases} A_{\text{eff}} \\ 0 \end{cases} \text{diag} \left(B_{\text{eff}} \mathscr{F}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u})_j \right) \Lambda_{\text{eff}} \Delta \alpha_{\text{eff}} \end{cases}$$

Note: fixed-point form



Iterative Enclosure Method

• Rewrite into the following compact form $K_{g,j}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{u}_g)_j = F_g \delta \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{d}_t)_j$ $-A_{g,j} \text{diag} (B_{\text{eff}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{u})_j) \Lambda_{\text{eff}} \Delta \alpha_{\text{eff}}$

• Apply IDFT $\mathbf{u}_{g,k} = (\mathscr{F}_{\ell}^{-1}(G_{j}F_{g})*\mathbf{d}_{t})_{k} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ $-(\mathscr{F}_{\ell}^{-1}(G_{j}A_{g,j})*\operatorname{diag}(B_{\mathrm{eff}}\mathbf{u}))_{k} \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}} \Delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{eff}}$



Outline

- Introduction
- DFT-Based Dynamic Solver
 - Deterministic Solver
 - Interval Solver
- Examples
- Conclusions



- Damping: $\mathbf{C} = 20\mathbf{M} + 3 \times 10^{-5} \mathbf{K},$
- Sampling interval: $\Delta t = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}$
- Initial conditions:
 - $\mathbf{u}_4 = [0.99, 1.01] \times 10^{-3} \,\mathbf{m}$

 $\mathbf{v}_{A} = [0.99, 1.01] \times 10^{-2} \text{ m/s}$



Georgia Institute of Technology

Uncertainties in mass and stiffness

Table I. Interval mass and stiffness for the five-story rigid frame of Figure 1, including 1% uncertainties in mass, and 5% uncertainties in stiffness.

Floor	Mass (kg)			Stiffness (kN/m)		
	\mathbf{m}_{j}	mid \mathbf{m}_j	rad \mathbf{m}_j	\mathbf{k}_{j}	mid \mathbf{k}_j	rad \mathbf{k}_j
1	[5.416, 5.470]	5.443	0.027	[1.180, 1.240]	1.210	0.030
2	[5.416, 5.470]	5.443	0.027	[1.677, 1.763]	1.720	0.043
3	[5.416, 5.470]	5.443	0.027	[1.862, 1.958]	1.910	0.048
4	[5.416, 5.470]	5.443	0.027	[1.775, 1.865]	1.820	0.045



Dynamic response due to non-zero initial displacement at the top of the frame



Dynamic response due to non-zero initial velocity at the top of the frame



Example 2 - Simple Truss



Example 2 - Simple Truss

 1% uncertainty in mass, stiffness, load magnitude and load history



Example 2 - Simple Truss

 2% uncertainty in mass, stiffness, and load magnitude (not in load history)



Outline

- Introduction
- DFT-Based Dynamic Solver
 - Deterministic Solver
 - Interval Solver
- Examples
- Conclusions



Conclusions

- Structural dynamic response under interval uncertainty
- The use of DFT (and IDFT) to reduce overestimation
- Guaranteed enclosure
- Tight bounds due to matrix decomposition and iterative enclosure method



THANK YOU!



Georgia Institute of Technology